

REMARKS

This is intended to be a full and complete response to the Office Action dated April 13, 2005 as follows:

The Examiner has required a restriction between claims 1-11 and 12-16 as follows:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a plant container; and
- II. Claims 12-16, drawn to converting a plant container to a fountain.


The Examiner states the inventions differ as product and process of use. The Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement. The preamble in claim 12 duly restricts the product used in conjunction with the claims of Group I.

However, if the Examiner maintains the restriction, then Applicant elects Group I, represented by system claims 1-11, and requests the Examiner to enter the above amendment to claim 12 now dependent on claim 3 where the container and spout of claim 12 have their antecedent basis in claim 3, so that claims 1-16 as amended are pending.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the below number if any further issues need resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 5/10/05



D. Brit Nelson
Registration No. 40,370
LOCKE LIDDELL & SAPP LLP
3400 Chase Tower
600 Travis Street
Houston, Texas 77002-3095
713-226-1361
713-223-3717 (Fax)
Attorneys for Applicant